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## Problem 1 Quiz ( 15.5 credits)

The following questions cover multiple topics and can be solved independently of each other.

a)* Briefly explain why traceroute can result in wrong paths if load balancing is used. Support you argument with an example topology and an incorrect path.
You can draw a topology or provide a set of nodes and edges, e.g., S->A, A->E.

- Traceroute sends packets with increasing TTLs and can only find hops with the given TTL distance
- Traceroute can not ensure that each probe is routed via the same path
- It assumes connections between consecutive hops
- Example topology: S->LB, LB->A, A->B, B->E, LB->C, C->D, D->E
- Example wrong path: S->LB->A->D->E

b)* How does ZMap ensure that TCP connections are closed and no state is left on scanned targets?
- ZMap uses RAW Sockets to send TCP Syn packets
- Received SYN ACK packets are captured by RAW receive sockets but also the TCP stack
- The TCP stack has no information about a connection and sends a TCP RST

c)* Name two reasons from the lecture why Top Lists should be treated carefully.

Two out of:

- Frequent changes over time, Weekend effect, Clustering effect, frequent changes in size

d)* Explain what determines the number of entries in the first stage table in the DIR-24-8 or the DXR algorithm.

Both algorithms split the lookup address in two parts, e.g., 24 bit and 8 bit for DIR-24-8. The length of the first part, e.g., 24 bit for DIR-24-8, determines the number of entries in the first stage table.

e)* A researcher wants to introduce a new kind of network layer protocol. Describe the changes that must be introduced in a OpenFlow-based SDN network architecture to support this new network layer protocol.

OpenFlow only works for protocols described by the OpenFlow standard. To implement new protocols the standard must be updated and OpenFlow devices need to support this new standard.

f)* Note the IPv6 address 2a03:0:20:0:2810::123 in the longest way possible using the hexadecimal representation with colons.

Address: 2a03:0000:0020:0000:2810:0000:0000:0123
g)* AS1234 announces the prefix 200.0.0.0/18 via BGP. AS666 wants to hijack the complete prefix. What prefix or prefixes can it announce to successfully hijack the prefix and why?

- More specific prefixes covering the complete prefix
- 200.0.0.0/19 and 200.0.64.0/19
h)* Explain how Consistent Hashing works in the context of Content Delivery Networks.
- Map clients and servers to points on the edge of a circle

- Clients choose next server along the edge of the circle
i)* Explain anycast-based load balancing.
- Assign the same IP address to multiple servers
- BGP finds (best) route


## Problem 2 Transport Protocols (17 credits)

In this problem you are designing a new file transfer protocol. The protocol is named Super Fast Transfer (SFT) and is supposed to fast and securely transfer large amounts of data through the network.

a)* SFT should be operable in the Internet which requires IP as underlying Layer 3 protocol. How many unique IPv4 and IPv6 addresses do exist?

IPv4: $2^{32} \quad$ IPv6: $2^{128}$

Next, you have to decide which Layer 4 protocol you will use. You know that UDP and TCP are widely used. Especially, TCP is one of the most used protocols due to the many features it offers. For example, flow control and congestion control.

b)* Name 3 additional features offered by TCP compared to UDP.
reliable, in-order delivery, connection management

These advantages convinced you to use TCP as Layer 4 protocol.

c)* To optimize the transmission speed of SFT you want to turn off congestion control. Briefly explain, what problems this can cause.

Congestion collapsecan happen. If there are more packets in the network than it can handle, packets get dropped and need to be retransmitted, which again increases the load in the network.

Knowing this you decide to move away from this idea and are now deciding which congestion control algorithm you want to use.

d)* TCP Cubic succeeded TCP Reno as default algorithm in the Linux kernel. Name two advantages of TCP Cubic over TCP Reno.

CWND growth is independent of the RTT, scalable to high BDP networks, more resilient against single stochastic packet loss than Reno
e)* To minimize the transmissions latency you test the delay-based TCP Vegas algorithm with a file transfer in the Internet. However, this results in a rather small transmission rate. Briefly explain the reason for this.

Delay-based algorithms perform poorly when competing against loss-based ones, which are mainly used in the Internet.

Next, you investigate the TCP BBR congestion control algorithm. Other than Vegas, BBR can combine high transmission rates with low latencies. You know, that BBR estimates BtIBw and RTprop to compute the connections BDP.

f)* How does BBR consider these estimated values in its design goals?

- keep 1 BDP of data inflight
- send with BtlBw
g)* Name two ways how a TCP sender can detect lost segments.

| • 3 duplicate acknowledgements/ Fast Retransmit |
| :--- |
| • retransmission timeout |

Beside, TCP you also search for other possibilities for transport layer protocols and find out about SCTP and QUIC. Both try to fix known problems with TCP following a similar approach. While QUIC seems to be quite successful, you hardly find any information about SCTP.
h)* Give two reasons why QUIC succeeds where SCTP failed.

- Middleboxes, like NAT, do not support SCTP, QUIC uses UDP
- SCTP has poor OS support, QUIC was widely spread by Google in Chrome clients and Google services
i)* Briefly explain the relation between a UDP datagram, a QUIC frame, and a QUIC packet.

Multiple frames can be included in one QUIC packet, multiple QUIC packets can be included in one UDP datagram.

Multiple data streams can be multiplexed into one TCP connection, for example in HTTP/2. While this reduces the load in the endpoints' operating system which have to maintain less open sockets, it can cause head-of-line (HoL) blocking. In this scenario two files are multiplexed, each of them is split up into two parts, e.g. file ${ }_{1}=\left(\square_{1}, \square_{2}\right)$ and file $_{2}=\left(\triangle_{1}, \triangle_{2}\right)$. The data stream is then distributed to the two IP packets Packet $A$ and Packet B.


Figure 2.1: File transfer from Server to Client.
j)* In Figure 2.1 scenarios using HTTP/2 and HTTP/3 are shown. For each scenario, briefly explain if or if not losing Packet A can cause HoL blocking.

## (a) Scenario 1 HTTP/2

HoL blocking, file $e_{2}$ has to wait for the retransmission even though it fully arrived.
(b) Scenario 2 HTTP/3
no HoL blocking, file ${ }_{2}$ can be processed since it is sent in its own stream.
(c) Scenario 3 HTTP/3
no HoL blocking, both files have not fully arrived and have to wait for the retransmission.

## Problem 3 Network Calculus ( 10.5 credits)

This problem investigates Network Calculus and its applications to determine delay bounds in networks. Always document your approach and simplify terms as much as possible, unless specified otherwise.


Figure 3.1: Network topology and flow description
Let the output envelope of a token-bucket constrained flow $\gamma_{r, b}$ traversing a rate-latency server $\beta_{R, T}$ be defined as $\left(\gamma_{r, b} \oslash \beta_{R, T}\right)(t)=\gamma_{r, b+r \cdot T}(t)$
Let the left-over service curve for a token-bucket constrained flow and a rate-latency server be defined as $\beta^{\text {l.o. }}=\left[\beta_{R, T}-\gamma_{r, b}\right]^{+}=\beta_{R-r, \frac{b+R \cdot T}{R-r}}$
Consider the network shown in Figure 3.1. Assume preemptive Strict Priority Queuing at each server. Flow $f_{1}$ has a low priority and Flow $f_{2}$ has a high priority. We are interested in calculating the delay bound for Flow $f_{1}$ using the Separate Flow Analysis.

Note: You are not required to use special symbols (e.g. $\beta_{R, T}$ and $\otimes$ ). But make sure your notation is consistent and understandable (e.g., beta_R,T and convolution).

a)* Perform the first step of the Separate Flow Analysis.

- $\beta_{s_{1}}^{1.0}=\beta_{10,2}$
- $\beta_{s_{2}}^{1.0}=\beta_{10,2}$
- $\beta_{s_{3}}^{1.0}=\left[\beta_{10,2}-\gamma_{5,50}\right]^{+}=\beta_{10-5, \frac{50+10 \cdot 2}{10-5}}=\beta_{5,14}$
- $\beta_{s_{4}}^{1.0}=\left[\beta_{10,2}-\gamma_{5,50}^{\star}\right]^{+}=\left[\beta_{10,2}-\gamma_{5,50+5 \cdot 2}\right]^{+}=\left[\beta_{10,2}-\gamma_{5,60}\right]^{+}=\beta_{10-5, \frac{6010.2}{10-5}}=\beta_{5,16}$
b) Perform the second step of the Separate Flow Analysis.

$$
\beta_{\mathrm{e} 2 e}=\beta_{s_{1}}^{1.0 .} \otimes \beta_{s_{2}}^{\mathrm{I} .0 .} \otimes \beta_{s_{3}}^{1.0 .} \otimes \beta_{s_{4}}^{1.0}=\beta_{\min \left(R_{1}^{10}, R_{2}^{10,}, R_{3}^{1.0}, R_{4}^{1.0}\right), T_{1}^{1.0}+T_{2}^{1.0}+T_{3}^{1.0}+T_{4}^{1.0}}=\beta_{5,34}
$$

c) Perform the third step of the Separate Flow Analysis.

$$
d=T_{e 2 e}+\frac{b_{f_{1}}}{R_{e 2 e}}=34+\frac{20}{5}=38
$$

d)* Assume a single token-bucket constrained flow traversing two rate-latency servers in series. Explain the advantage gained by concatenating the servers when calculating the delay bound for the flow.

Pay-Bursts-Only-Once or equivalent explanation
$e)^{*}$ Give an alternative definition or explantion of the $[x]^{+}$operator that is used during the left-over service curve calculation.
$\max (0, x)$ or equivalent explanation
f)* Assume a token-bucket constrained flow $\gamma_{r=100, b=2}$ traversing a single rate-latency server $\beta_{R=80, T=300}$. Assume arbitrary multiplexing. Argue whether or not a finite delay bound for the flow can be computed.

The service curve rate is smaller than the arrival curve rate. Therefore, the maximum horizontal distance is infinite or the two curves diverge.

## Problem 4 DNS (17 credits)

This problem investigates the domain name system (DNS).

| tcb.example.de. | SOA | ns1.tcb.example.de. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tcb.example.de. | NS | ns1.tcb.example.de. |
| tcb.example.de. | NS | ns2.tcb.example.de. |
| tcb.example.de. | NS | ns.example.com. |
| ns1.tcb.example.de. | A | 192.0 .0 .1 |
| ns2.tcb.example.de. | A | 192.0 .0 .2 |
|  |  |  |
| example.com. | SOA | ns.example.com. |
| example.com. | NS | ns.example.com. |
| ns.example.com. | A | 193.0 .0 .1 192.0.0.3 |
| qmin.acn.example.com. | A | 193.0 .0 .2 |

Listing 1: Relevant DNS records for this problem. Some records are shortened to the relevant part for readability.

a)* A DNS message starts with the header. Name all other parts of a DNS message besides the header.

- Question section
- Answer section
- Authority section
- Additional section

b)* Shortly explain any difference in the message format of DNS queries and responses or how they can be differentiated.

Query and response use the same message format. A bit in the header indicates the type

c)* Define the term TCB in general and explain what you can learn from a TCB for a domain name.

- TCB - trusted computing base contains all components of a system which you need to trust
- A DNS-TCB contains all zones which could be included in a resolution path. I.e. all zones which need to be trusted

d)* Shortly explain why you would prefer a larger or a smaller TCB size for a domain you own.
- A smaller TCB size means less zones you need to trust for a successful resolution

e)* The RFC 2182 requires a zone's nameserver setup to be redundant and robust. Explain why neither tcb. example. de nor example.com fulfill these requirements.
- tcb. example. de has three nameservers but all in the same /24 prefix
- example.com has only one nameserver record
- Due to an error in the problem statement, we also accepted the answer if it was mentioned that ns1.tcb.example.de and ns2.tcb.example.de are in the same subnet
f)* Draw the TCB for tcb. example.de using the records provided in Listing 1. Consider all other zones to be in-bailiwick. Alternatively to drawing, you can also list all necessary connections in the graph.

g) Remove one record (use line number for reference) in order to reduce the TCBs size.
- Remove NS record from tcb.example.de pointing to ns.example.com
- tcb.example.de. NS ns.example.com.
h)* If a resolver performs QNAME-minimization which queries would it perform to resolve qmin. acn. example.com? Assume the resolvers cache is empty but you are allowed to omit queries for the nameserver names A/AAAA record. Name the queried domain name and the target nameservers zone.
E.g. Query google.com A at nameserver from google.com
- Query com NS at nameserver from root - .

- Query example.com NS at nameserver from com
- Query acn.example.com NS at nameserver from example.com
- Query qmin .acn. example.com NS at nameserver from example.com
- Query qmin. acn. example.com A at nameserver from example.com
i)* Explain the difference to a resolution path without QNAME minimization and explain why that is.
- No NS Query for acn.example.com and qmin. acn. example.com as always the full FQDN is sent
- Therefore two less queries to perform


## Problem 5 P4 (15 credits)

This problem investigates a Software-Defined Network (SDN) powered by P4. The source code of a P4 switch program is given in Listing 2.

```
header eth_t { bit<48> dstAddr;
    bit<48> srcAddr;
    bit<16> etherType; }
header ip6_t { bit<4> version;
    bit<8> trafficclass;
    bit<20> flowlabel;
    bit<16> payloadlength;
    bit<8> nextheader;
    bit<8> hoplimit;
    bit<128> srcAddr;
    bit <128> dstAddr; }
header udp_t { bit<16> srcPort;
    bit<16> dstPort;
    bit<16> length;
    bit<16> checksum; }
struct metadata { -unused- }
struct headers { eth_t eth;
    ip6_t ipv6;
    udp_t udp; }
parser Parserlmpl(packet_in packet, out headers hdr, inout metadata meta, inout standard_metadata_t
    standard_metadata) {
    state parse_udp { packet.extract(hdr.udp);
                transition accept; }
    state parse_ip6 { packet.extract(hdr.ipv6);
                                    transition select(hdr.ipv6.nextheader) { 0x11: parse_udp;
                                    default: accept; }}
    state parse_eth { packet.extract(hdr.eth);
                transition select(hdr.eth.etherType) { 0x86dd: parse_ip6;
                                    default: accept; }}
    state start { transition parse_eth; }
}
control Deparserlmpl(packet_out packet, in headers hdr) {
    apply { packet.emit(hdr.eth);
            packet.emit(hdr.ipv6);
            packet.emit(hdr.udp); }
}
control Pipeline(inout headers hdr, inout metadata meta, inout standard_metadata_t standard_metadata) {
    action my_drop() { mark_to_drop(standard_metadata); }
    action set_egress(bit<9> port) { standard_metadata.egress_spec = port; }
    action set_default_egress() { standard_metadata.egress_spec = 1; }
    table filter { actions = { set_egress; my_drop; set_default_egress; }
        key = { standard_metadata.ingres\overline{s_port: exact; }}
        default_action = set_default_egress(); }
    table forward { actions = { set_egress; my_drop; set_default_egress; }
        key = { standard_metadata.ingress_port: exact; }
                default_action = set_default_egress(); }
    apply { if (hdr.udp.is Valid()) {
        filter.apply();
        } else if (hdr.eth.isValid()) {
            forward.apply();
            }
    }
}
V1Switch(ParserImpl(), Pipeline(), Deparserlmpl()) main;
```

Listing 2: Simple P4 program

For the following problems use the network topology given in Figure 5.1. Switch S is a P 4 switch running the P 4 program of Listing 2.


Figure 5.1: Network topology

| Match field(s) | Key | Action | Action data |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| standard_metadata.ingress_port | 1 | set_egress | 2 |

Table 5.1: Rule entered into the table filter

For the following subproblems you can assume that Servers A and B know the MAC address of each other, i.e., you do not need to describe or consider address resolution in your answers.

The administrator of the network in Figure 5.1 wants to test the connectivity in his network using traceroute. Therefore, he executes the command traceroute 2002::b on Server A. The traceroute tool of the administrator uses the UDP protocol.
a)* Name at least two other protocols that can be used by the traceroute 2002: : b command besides UDP.

## TCP, ICMPv6


b)* Discuss if a normal switch (not a P4-switch) shows up in the output of traceroute.

- Switch will not show up in the output of traceroute
- Switch works on layer 2, but traceroute uses mechanisms of layer 3 to work
c)* Discuss if the P4-switch running the program in Listing 2 shows up in the output of traceroute.
- Switch will not show up in the output of traceroute
- P4 program is not able to generate the necessary ICMP replies to show up in traceroute output
d)* Explain the path of the packet that is generated by the traceroute 2002: :b on Server A through the ParserImpl.
- Path begins at start
- Path continues in parse_eth, where the Ethertype of IPv6 is checked and parse_ip6 is selected
- In parse_ip6, the parse_udp path is taken, as the UDP is used
- In parse_udp the packet is accepted

e) Explain the path of the packet that is generated by the traceroute 2002: :b on Server A through the Pipeline of the P4 program in Listing 2, mentioning all passed decisions, tables, table entries, actions, and what happens to the packet.
- Path begins at apply ()
- Table filter is applied, because UDP header is valid
- ingress_port is 1 , and the matching rule entry is applied
- packet is sent out on port 2

For the following subproblems you can assume that the packet that is generated by the traceroute 2002::b on Server A successfully arrived at Server B.

f)* What kind of reply is generated by Server B?

Server B replies with an ICMPv6 message

g) Explain the path of the reply packet generated by Server B through the Pipeline of the P4 program in Listing 2, mentioning all passed decisions, tables, table entries, actions, and what happens to the packet.

- Path begins at apply ()
- Table forward is applied, because UDP header is not valid
- Table is empty, default entry is used
- Reply is sent out on port 1

h)* What happens to the packet if the administrator executes the command traceroute 10.0.0.2 on Server A (using the UDP protocol)?
- Parser only successfully parses Ethernet packet
- In the pipeline only the (empty) forward table can be applied
- Default route action is taken
- Packet is sent back to Server A
- Server A drops packet (wrong destination MAC address)

Additional space for solutions-clearly mark the (sub)problem your answers are related to and strike out invalid solutions.



